arXiv:1704.01753v1 [math.NT] 6 Apr 2017

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF BINARY QUADRATIC FORMS OVER RATIONAL FUNCTION FIELDS

CHANG LV

Abstract. For diophantine equations of the form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + g = 0$ over $k[t]$, the ring of integers of rational funtional fields, we show that a condition with respect to the Artin reciprocity map, which we call the Artin condition, is the only obstruction to the local-global principle for integral solutions of the equation. Some concrete examples are presented.

1. Introduction

The main theorem of a book by Cox [2] is a beautiful criterion of the solvability of the diophantine equation $p = x^2 + ny^2$. The specific statement is

Theorem. Let n be a positive integer. Then there is a monic irreducible polynomial $f_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $h(-4n)$ such that if an odd prime p divides neither n nor the discriminant of $f_n(x)$, then $p = x^2 + ny^2$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) = 1$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Here $h(-4n)$ is the class number of primitive positive definite binary forms of discriminant $-4n$. Furthermore, $f_n(x)$ may be taken to be the minimal polynomial of a real algebraic integer α for which $L = K(\alpha)$ is the ring class field of the order $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-n}]$ in the imaginary quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$.

Maciak treated the same problem over rational function fields in his Ph.D thesis [7], and gave a similar criterion of the integral solvability of $p = x^2 + ny^2$. One can consider the integral solvability of the generalized equation

$$ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + g = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

over rings of integers of global fields, which amounts to the integral representability of $-g$ as the binary quadratic form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$. There are some results considering the problem over number fields. By using classical results in class field theory, the first and third author [5] gave a criterion of the integral solvability of the equation $p = x^2 + ny^2$ for some n over a class of imaginary quadratic fields of $\mathbb{Q}$, where p is a prime element.

Recently, Harari [4] showed that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction for the existence of integral points of a scheme over the ring of integers of a number field, whose generic fiber is a principal homogeneous space (torsor) of a torus. After then Wei and Xu [12, 13] showed that there exist idele groups which are the so-called **X**-*admissible subgroups* for determining the integral points for multi-norm tori, and interpreted the **X**-admissible subgroup in terms of finite Brauer-Manin obstruction. In [12, Section 3] Wei and Xu also showed how to apply this method to binary quadratic diophantine equations. As applications, they gave some explicit criteria of the

Date: April 7, 2017.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11E12, 11D57, 11R58 ; Secondary 14L30, 11R37.
Key words and phrases. binary quadratic forms, integral points, algebraic function fields.

solvability of equations of the form $x^2 \pm dy^2 = a$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ in [12, Sections 4 and 5], by construct explicit admissible subgroups.

Later Wei [10] applied the method in [12] to give some additional criteria of the solvability of the diophantine equation $x^2 - dy^2 = a$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ for some d. He also determined which integers can be written as a sum of two integral squares for some of the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm p})$ (in [9]), $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2p})$ (in [11]) and so on.

In [6], the author et al. applied the method in [12] to diophantine equations of the form (1.1) over $\mathbb{Z}$ and gave a criterion of the solvability with some additional assumptions, by construct explicit admissible subgroups for (1.1).

In this text, we treat the equation (1.1) over $k[t]$, the ring of integers of rational function fields. We use a similar but slightly different argument as in [6], to solve the same problem over $k[t]$. We generalize the method in [6] to construct explicit admissible subgroups for the equation (1.1). See Lemma 2.14.

Specifically, the main result of this text is:

Theorem. Let $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ be the class field corresponding to $E^\times \Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_F[x,y]/(ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + g)).$$

Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} (x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

such that

$$\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1.$$

In the above theorem, $\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is an open subgroup of finite index of the idele group $\mathbb{I}_E$ of E, $\tilde{f}_E$ is a map from $\prod_\mathfrak{p} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ to $\mathbb{I}_E$ which is constructed by using the fact that the generic fiber of $\mathbf{X}$ admits the structure of a torsor of a torus, and $\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E} : \mathbb{I}_E \to \mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E)$ is the Artin reciprocity map. The condition $\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1$ is called the Artin condition. See Sections 2.1 and 3 for details.

In Section 2, we introduce from [12] notation and the general result we mainly use in this text, but in a modified way which focus on our goal. Then we give our result on the equation (1.1) over $k[t]$ in Section 3. The results state that the integral local solvability and the Artin condition (see Remark 2.12) completely describe the global integral solvability. In view of the result of Maciak, we recover the main theorems in [7] by our result. At last, we ended this text by some concrete examples showing the explicit criteria of the solvability.

2. Solvability by the Artin Condition

2.1. **Notation.** Let F be a global field with character not 2, $\mathfrak{o}_F$ the ring of integers of F, Ω_F the set of all places in F. Let $F_\mathfrak{p}$ be the completion of F at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ the valuation ring of $F_\mathfrak{p}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$. We also write $\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}} = F_\mathfrak{p}$ for infinite place $\mathfrak{p}$. The adele ring (resp. idele group) of F is denoted by $\mathbb{A}_F$ (resp. $\mathbb{I}_F$).

Let a, b, c and g be elements in $\mathfrak{o}_F$ and suppose that $-d = b^2 - 4ac$ is not a square in F. Let $E = F(\sqrt{-d})$, a quadratic extension of F. Since the character of F is not 2, the extension E/F is

separable. Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_F[x,y]/(ax^2+bxy+cy^2+g)) \tag{2.1}$$

be the affine scheme defined by the equation $ax^2+bxy+cy^2+g=0$ over $\mathfrak{o}_F$. The equation

$$ax^2+bxy+cy^2+g=0 \tag{2.2}$$

is solvable over $\mathfrak{o}_F$ if and only if $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)\neq\emptyset$.

Now we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{x} &= 2ax+by,\\ \tilde{y} &= y,\\ n &= -4ag.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can write (2.2) as

$$\tilde{x}^2+d\tilde{y}^2=n. \tag{2.3}$$

Denote $R_{E/F}(\mathbf{G}_m)$ the Weil restriction of $\mathbf{G}_{m,E}$ to F. Let

$$\varphi : R_{E/F}(\mathbf{G}_m)\longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$$

be the homomorphism of algebraic groups which represents

$$x\longmapsto N_{E/F}(x) : (E\otimes_F A)^\times \longrightarrow A^\times$$

for any F-algebra A. Define the torus $T=\ker\varphi$. Let X_F be the generic fiber of $\mathbf{X}$. We can identify elements $u,v\in A$ in $T(A)$ (resp. $x,y\in A$ in $X_F(A)$) as $u+\sqrt{-d}v\in E\otimes_F A$, with $u^2+dv^2=1$ (resp. $\tilde{x}+\sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}\in E\otimes_F A$ with $\tilde{x}^2+d\tilde{y}^2=n$). Then X_F is naturally a T-torsor by the action:

$$\begin{aligned} T(A)\times X_F(A) &\longrightarrow X_F(A)\\ (u+\sqrt{-d}v,\tilde{x}+\sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}) &\longmapsto (u+\sqrt{-d}v)(\tilde{x}+\sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}).\end{aligned}$$

Note that T has an integral model $\mathbf{T}=\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_F[x,y]/(x^2+dy^2-1))$ and we can view $\mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ as a subgroup of $T(F_\mathfrak{p})$.

Denote by λ the embedding of T into $R_{E/F}(\mathbf{G}_m)$. Clearly λ induces a natural injective group homomorphism

$$\lambda_E : T(\mathbb{A}_F)\longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_E.$$

Now we assume that

$$X_F(F)\neq\emptyset, \tag{2.4}$$

i.e. X_F is a trivial T-torsor. Fixing a rational point $P\in X_F(F)$, for any F-algebra A, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_P : X_F(A) &\xrightarrow{\sim} T(A)\\ x &\longmapsto P^{-1}x\end{aligned}$$

induced by P. Since we can view $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ as a subset of $X_F(\mathbb{A}_F)$, the composition

$$f_E=\lambda_E\phi_P : \prod_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_E$$

makes sense, mapping x to $P^{-1}x$ in $\mathbb{I}_E$. Note that P is in $E^\times \subset \mathbb{I}_E$ since it is a rational point over F. It follows that we can define the map $\tilde{f}_E$ to be the composition

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \xrightarrow{f_E} \mathbb{I}_E \xrightarrow{\times P} \mathbb{I}_E.$$

It can be seen that the restriction to $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ of $\tilde{f}_E$ is defined by

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p})] = \begin{cases} (\tilde{x}_\mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_\mathfrak{p}, \tilde{x}_\mathfrak{p} - \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_\mathfrak{p}) \in E_\mathfrak{P} \times E_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}\bar{\mathfrak{P}} \text{ splits in } E/F, \\ \tilde{x}_\mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_\mathfrak{p} \in E_\mathfrak{P} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{P}$) are places of E above $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\tilde{x}_\mathfrak{p} = 2ax_\mathfrak{p} + by_\mathfrak{p}$, $\tilde{y}_\mathfrak{p} = y_\mathfrak{p}$.

Let Ξ be an open subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$ such that $E^\times\Xi$ is of finite index (in the case of number fields, the finiteness is not necessary). Let K_Ξ be the class field corresponding to $E^\times\Xi$ under class field theory, such that the Artin map gives the isomorphism

$$\psi_{K_\Xi/E} : \mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\Xi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(K_\Xi/E).$$

For any $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$, noting that P is in $E^\times$, we have

$$\psi_{K_\Xi/E}(f_E(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1 \text{ if and only if } \psi_{K_\Xi/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.7. If $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \neq \emptyset$, then the assumption (2.4) holds automatically by the Hasse-Minkowski theorem on quadratic equations. Hence we can pick an F-point P of X_F and obtain ϕ_P. But note that the map $\tilde{f}_E$ is independent of P.

2.2. **A general result.** For the integral points of the scheme $\mathbf{X}$ over $\mathfrak{o}_F$ defined in (2.1), we have the following general result, which is a Corollary to [12, Corollary 1.6].

Proposition 2.8. *Let symbols be as before and suppose that*

$$\lambda_E^{-1}(E^\times\Xi) \subseteq T(F)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}). \tag{2.9}$$

Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

such that

$$\psi_{K_\Xi/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Note that $\lambda_E(T(F)) \subseteq E^\times$ in $\mathbb{I}_E$. If $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\tilde{f}_E\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})\right) \cap E^\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \supseteq \tilde{f}_E(\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F)) \cap E^\times \neq \emptyset,$$

hence there exists $x \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\psi_{K_\Xi/E}\tilde{f}_E(x) = 1$.

Conversely, suppose there exists $x \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\psi_{K_\Xi/E}\tilde{f}_E(x) = 1$ (here $\tilde{f}_E$ makes sense by Remark 2.7). Then by (2.6) we have $\lambda_E\phi_P(x) = f_E(x) \in E^\times\Xi$. Thus by assumption (2.9) we

have $\phi_P(x) \in T(F)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$, which is to say there are $\tau \in T(F)$ and $\sigma \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\tau\sigma = \phi_P(x) = P^{-1}x$, i.e. $\tau\sigma(P) = x$. Since $P \in X_F(F)$ and

$$g\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for all } g \in \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}), \tag{2.11}$$

it follows that

$$\tau(P) = \sigma^{-1}(x) \in \mathbf{X}(F) \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F).$$

□

Remark 2.12. The condition (2.10) is called the *Artin condition* in, for example, Wei's [10, 9, 11]. If the assumption in the proposition holds, the integral local solvability and the Artin condition completely describe the global integral solvability. As a result, in cases where K_Ξ is known it is possible to calculate the Artin condition, and give explicit criteria for the solvability. Actually, the assumption (2.9) is in line with the definition of $\mathbf{X}$-admissible subgroup in [12].

Let $L = \mathfrak{o}_F + \mathfrak{o}_F\sqrt{-d}$ in E and $L_\mathfrak{p} = L \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ in $E_\mathfrak{p} = E \otimes_F F_\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} L_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$. Let $S \subseteq \Omega_E$ be a finite set of places of E, $U_\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{P}}^\times$ be an open subgroup of $\mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{P}}^\times$ and

$$W_\mathfrak{P} = \begin{cases} U_\mathfrak{P} & \text{for } \mathfrak{P} \in S, \\ \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{P}}^\times & \text{for } \mathfrak{P} \notin S. \end{cases}$$

We define the open subgroup of $\mathbb{I}_E$

$$\Xi_W = \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} L_\mathfrak{p}^\times\right) \bigcap \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{P}} W_\mathfrak{P}\right) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} W_\mathfrak{P}\right), \tag{2.13}$$

and assume $E^\times\Xi_W$ is also of finite index in $\mathbb{I}_E$ (since $\prod_{\mathfrak{P}} W_\mathfrak{P}$ contains

$$E^\times \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{m}} (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{a_\mathfrak{p}}) \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\nmid\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times$$

for some modules $\mathfrak{m} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{a_\mathfrak{p}}$, it is already of finite index in the number field case). We define K_W/E the class field corresponding to $E^\times\Xi_W$.

By some additional assumptions, we prove that $\Xi = \Xi_W$ satisfies the assumption (2.9) in Proposition 2.8, that is,

$$\lambda_E^{-1}(E^\times\Xi_W) \subseteq T(F)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Lemma 2.14. *Let S and $W_\mathfrak{P}$ as before. Suppose for every $u \in \mathfrak{o}_F$, the equation*

$$N_{E/F}(\alpha) = u, \quad \alpha \in L^\times$$

is solvable or the equation

$$N_{E_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = u, \quad \alpha \in L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} W_\mathfrak{P}$$

is not solvable for some place $\mathfrak{p}$. Then the assumption (2.9) in Proposition 2.8 is true.

Proof. Recall that $T = \ker(R_{E/F}(\mathbf{G}_m) \to \mathbf{G}_m)$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is the group scheme defined by the equation $x^2 + dy^2 = 1$ over $\mathfrak{o}_F$. Therefore we have

$$T(F) = \{\, \beta \in E^\times \mid N_{E/F}(\beta) = 1 \,\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\, \beta \in L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \mid N_{E_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) = 1 \,\}.$$

Suppose $t \in T(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\lambda_E(t) \in E^\times \Xi_W$. Write $t = \beta i$ with $\beta \in E^\times$ and $i \in \Xi_W$. Since $t \in T(\mathbb{A}_F)$ we have

$$N_{E/F}(\beta) N_{E/F}(i) = N_{E/F}(\beta i) = 1.$$

It follows that

$$N_{E/F}(i) = N_{E/F}(\beta^{-1}) \in F^\times \cap \prod_\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}^\times = \mathfrak{o}_F^\times.$$

So we have $N_{E/F}(i) = u$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{o}_F$. Note that $i \in \Xi_W$, and thus at each $\mathfrak{p}$ we have

$$N_{E_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p}}(i_\mathfrak{p}) = u, \quad i_\mathfrak{p} = (i_\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} \in L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} W_\mathfrak{P}.$$

Thus the assumption tells us that the equation

$$N_{E/F}(\alpha) = u, \quad \alpha \in L^\times$$

is solvable. Let α_0 be such a solution and let

$$\gamma = \beta\alpha_0$$
$$\text{and } j = i\alpha_0^{-1}.$$

Then $N_{E/F}(\gamma) = N_{E/F}(j) = 1$. Note that $\alpha_0 \in L^\times$, and we have $\gamma \in T(F)$ and $j \in \prod_\mathfrak{p} \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$. It follows that $t = \beta i = \gamma j \in T(F) \prod_\mathfrak{p} \mathbf{T}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 2.15. In [6], the admissible subgroup for the equation (1.1) is simply chosen to be $\prod_\mathfrak{p} L_\mathfrak{p}^\times$, which is generalized by the above lemma, where we intersect $\prod_\mathfrak{p} L_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ with the open subgroup $\prod_\mathfrak{P} W_\mathfrak{P}$ (see (2.13)). This allows us to deal more difficult base fields and parameters for (1.1). Previous method to to this [12, 10, 11] is to construct an Kummer extension Θ/E with low degree and chose the admissible group to be $E^\times \prod_\mathfrak{p} L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap E^\times N_{\Theta/E} \mathbb{I}_\Theta^\times$.

Using this Lemma, we obtain the following corollary for Proposition 2.8.

Corollary 2.16. Let symbols be as before and suppose that $E^\times \Xi_W$ is of finite index in $\mathbb{I}_E$, S and $W_\mathfrak{P}$ satisfy the assumption in Lemma 2.14, that is, for every $u \in \mathfrak{o}_F$, the equation

$$N_{E/F}(\alpha) = u, \quad \alpha \in L^\times$$

is solvable or the equation

$$N_{E_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = u, \quad \alpha \in L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} W_\mathfrak{P}$$

is not solvable for some place $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} (x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

such that

$$\psi_{K_W/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1,$$

where K_W is the class field corresponding to $E^\times \Xi_W$ with Ξ_W defined in (2.13).

3. The integral representation of binary quadratic forms over $k[t]$

Now we consider the case where $F = k(t)$ which is our focus, where $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field of character $p \neq 2$. Hence we are interested in diophantine equation $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + g = 0$ over $\mathfrak{o}_F = k[t]$. Suppose that $-d = b^2 - 4ac$ is not a square in F. Set $E = F(\sqrt{-d})$ and $L = \mathfrak{o}_F + \mathfrak{o}_F\sqrt{-d}$ as previous sections. Let $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ be the place of $k(t)$ at infinity and suppose further that E/F is "imaginary", that is,

$$\text{there is unique place } \mathfrak{P}_\infty \text{ in } E \text{ lying over } \mathfrak{p}_\infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathrm{sgn}(\cdot)$ be a sign function of $\mathfrak{P}_\infty$ and define

$$E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = \{\, \alpha \in E^\times_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \mid \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha) = 1 \,\}, \tag{3.2}$$

$S = \{\, \mathfrak{P}_\infty \,\}$, and $U_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. Let $\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ be the subgroup Ξ_W defined in (2.13) for the chosen S and $U_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$.

Theorem 3.3. *With the above notation, we have:*

(a) *The open subgroup $E^\times \Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is of finite index in $\mathbb{I}_E$.*

(b) *Let $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ be the class field corresponding to $E^\times \Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and*

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_F[x,y]/(ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + g)).$$

Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} (x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

such that

$$\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1.$$

Proof. We first show that $\mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is finite. By the choice of S and $U_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ we know that

$$\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_\infty} L^\times_\mathfrak{p}$$

Define $(\mathfrak{o}_E)_\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{o}_E \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}$ in $E_\mathfrak{p} = E \otimes_F F_\mathfrak{p}$ and

$$\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_\infty} (\mathfrak{o}_E)^\times_\mathfrak{p} = E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{P}\neq\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \mathfrak{o}^\times_{E_\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Since we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{o}^\times_E/L^\times \longrightarrow \tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \longrightarrow E^\times\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E^\times\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \longrightarrow 1$$

and

$$\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|[\mathfrak{o}_E:L]} (\mathfrak{o}_E)^\times_\mathfrak{p}/L^\times_\mathfrak{p}$$

is finite, we know that $\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is finite. Therefore we only need to show that $\mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is finite.

Define $\mathbb{I}^+_E = \mathbb{I}_E \cap E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and $E^+ = \mathbb{I}^+_E \cap E^\times$. Then naturally we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{I}^+_E/E^+\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \cong Cl^+(\mathfrak{o}_E)$, where $Cl^+(\mathfrak{o}_E)$ is the *narrow class group* with respect to $(\mathfrak{P}_\infty, \mathrm{sgn})$ (c.f. [3, p. 200]).

And we have $\mathbb{I}_E = E^\times \mathbb{I}_E^+$ by the approximation theorem (c.f. [1]). Hence we have $\mathbb{I}_E^+/E^+\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \cong \mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and thus $\mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\tilde{\Xi}^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \cong Cl^+(\mathfrak{o}_E)$ is finite. This completes the proof for (a).

For the assertion (b) we will apply Corollary 2.16. For any $u \neq 1$ in $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times = k^\times$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_\infty$ so $L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} W_\mathfrak{P} = K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$, since $\mathfrak{P}_\infty$ is the only place above $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$. Assume that there is $\alpha \in E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ such that $u = N_{E_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = \alpha\bar{\alpha}$. By the definition of $E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ (3.2) we know that $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) = 1$. Since $v_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}(\alpha) = v_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}(\bar{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}(u) = 0$ where v is the normalized exponential valuation, we have α is a unit of $K^\times_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ with $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) = 1$, which is to say $\alpha \in 1+\mathfrak{P}_\infty$. Therefor $\bar{\alpha} \in 1+\overline{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = 1+\mathfrak{P}_\infty$, and hence we have $\alpha, \bar{\alpha} \in E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ with $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) = \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{\alpha}) = 1$. It follows that $u = \operatorname{sgn}(u) = \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)\operatorname{sgn}(\bar{\alpha}) = 1$, which is a contradiction and shows that the equation

$$N_{E_\mathfrak{p}/F_\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = u, \quad \alpha \in L_\mathfrak{p}^\times \cap \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} W_\mathfrak{P}$$

is not solvable for $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_\infty$. Thus (b) follows form Corollary (2.16). □

In contrast to the class field $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ corresponding to $\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$, we denote $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ the Hilbert class field of E with respect to $\mathfrak{P}_\infty$, i.e. $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is the class field corresponding to the open subgroup $E^\times\Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ of finite index in $\mathbb{I}_E$, where

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E^\times_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{P}\neq\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \mathfrak{o}^\times_{E_\mathfrak{p}},$$

which we will use later and basically we have $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \supseteq K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ since $E^\times\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \subseteq E^\times\Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$.

We use the above Theorem to derive a result similar to the main theorems of Maciak in [7] considering the equation $l = x^2 + Dy^2$. First we need some notation and facts in [7]. Recall that we set $F = k(t)$, $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ and hence $\mathfrak{o}_F = k[t]$. Let $D \in k[t]$ be square free with positive degree and $l \nmid D$ an irreducible element of $k[t]$ and we consider the equation $l = x^2 + Dy^2$ over $k[t]$. For this equation, we have $a = 1$, $b = 0$, $c = D$, $g = -l$ and $-d = b^2 - 4ac = -4D$. Since $2 \in k^\times$, we can simplify the equation (2.3) by canceling 4 in both sides. We set

$$\begin{aligned} n &= -4ag/4 = l, \\ \tilde{x} &= (2ax + by)/2 = x, \\ \tilde{y} &= y. \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Theorem 3.3 for $d = D$ to this example, as we still have $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, $\tilde{x}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 = n$ and (2.11). Remember that D is square free with positive degree, we know that $\mathfrak{o}_F + \mathfrak{o}_F\sqrt{-D} = \mathfrak{o}_E$. Suppose that $\deg D$ is odd or $\operatorname{lc}(-D)$ (lc means the leading coefficient) is not a square in $k^\times$, which is to say the assumption (3.1) holds. In what follow we make a further assumption that

$$\text{the equation } l = x^2 + Dy^2 \text{ is solvable in the completion } E^\times_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}, \tag{3.4}$$

i.e., $\deg l$ is even if $\deg D$ is. Let d_∞ be the ramification index of $\mathfrak{P}_\infty \mid \mathfrak{p}_\infty$ and define $\deg^* l = \frac{\deg l}{d_\infty}$ as in [7], which is an positive integer by the above assumption. Following [7, Lemma 3.3.3], since $v_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}(g/\sqrt{-D}) = 1$, we fix sgn to be with respect to the uniformizer $g/\sqrt{-D}$ in the following

Theorem 3.5. *Let k, D and l in $k[t]$ as before. Then we have*

(a) *if $\operatorname{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \in k^{\times 2}$, then $l = x^2 + Dy^2$ is solvable over $k[t]$ if and only if $\left(\frac{l}{r}\right) = 1$ for each monic irreducible factor $r \mid D$ and l splits completely in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$;*

(b) *if* $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \notin k^{\times 2}$, *then* $l = x^2 + Dy^2$ *is solvable over* $k[t]$ *if and only if* $\left(\frac{l}{r}\right) = 1$ *for each monic irreducible factor* $r \mid D$, l *splits completely in* $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ *and the relative degree of* l *in* $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ *is* 2.

Proof. In line with Theorem 3.3, recall that $F = k(t)$, $E = F(\sqrt{-D})$, $L = \mathfrak{o}_F + \mathfrak{o}_F\sqrt{-D} = \mathfrak{o}_E$ and $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is the class field corresponding to $\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. We know by (2.5) that

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p})] = \begin{cases} (x_\mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-D}y_\mathfrak{p}, x_\mathfrak{p} - \sqrt{-D}y_\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ splits in } E/F, \\ x_\mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-D}y_\mathfrak{p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Then by Theorem 3.3, the equation $l = x^2 + Dy^2$ is solvable over $k[t]$ if and only if there exists local solution

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} (x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$$

such that

$$\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}))) = 1.$$

Next we calculate these conditions in details. By a simple calculation we know the local condition

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}}) \neq \emptyset$$

is equivalent to

$$l \text{ splits completely in } E, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\left(\frac{l}{r}\right) = 1, \text{ for each monic irreducible factor } r \mid D, \tag{3.8}$$

(3.9)

where we have removed the local condition at $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ since it automatically holds by the assumption (3.4).

For the Artin condition, let $\prod_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) \in \prod_\mathfrak{p} \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_\mathfrak{p}})$ be a local solution. Then

$$(x_\mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-D}y_\mathfrak{p})(x_\mathfrak{p} - \sqrt{-D}y_\mathfrak{p}) = l \text{ in } E_\mathfrak{P} \text{ with } \mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{p}. \tag{3.10}$$

Let $\mathfrak{l}{=}l\mathfrak{o}_F$. Thus for all $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{p}_\infty$, $\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p})] \in L^\times_\mathfrak{p}$ by (3.6) and (3.10). It follows that

$$\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p})]) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{p}_\infty,$$

where $\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p})]$ is regarded as an element in $\mathbb{I}_E$ such that the component above $\mathfrak{p}$ is given by the value of $\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p})]$ and 1 otherwise. For $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l}$, by the local condition we already know that l splits completely in E/F. Hence (3.10) tells us that one of $v_\mathfrak{l}(x_\mathfrak{l} \pm \sqrt{-D}y_\mathfrak{l})$ is 1 and the other 0. Suppose $v_\mathfrak{l}(\tilde{x}_\mathfrak{l} + \sqrt{-D}\tilde{y}_\mathfrak{l}) = 1$ and let $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{L}\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ in E. Note that $L = \mathfrak{o}_E$ and $L^\times_\mathfrak{l} = (\mathfrak{o}_E)^\times_\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{o}^\times_{E_\mathfrak{L}} \times \mathfrak{o}_{E_{\bar{\mathfrak{L}}}}$, so both $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}$ are unramified in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E$. It follows that

$$\sigma_\mathfrak{L} := \psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_\mathfrak{l}, y_\mathfrak{l})]) = \psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(l_\mathfrak{L}) \in \mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E)$$

where $l_\mathfrak{L}$ is in $\mathbb{I}_E$ such that its $\mathfrak{L}$ component is l and the other components are 1, and $\sigma_\mathfrak{L}$ denotes the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathfrak{L}$ in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E$.

For $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_\infty$, let $\alpha = x_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty} + \sqrt{-D}y_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}$. Then $l = \alpha\bar{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(\bar{\alpha}) = (-1)^{\deg^* l}\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha)$ ([7, Proposition 4.4.2]). Thus

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha) = \pm\sqrt{\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l}}.$$

Let

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = \psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}, y_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty})]) \in \mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E).$$

Note that since $L = \mathfrak{o}_E$, we have

$$\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{p}_\infty} L^\times_{\mathfrak{p}} = E^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{P}\neq\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \mathfrak{o}^\times_{E_{\mathfrak{P}}}.$$

It follows that $(\alpha)_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \in E^\times\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ if and only if $\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha u) = 1$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{o}_E^\times = k^\times$. And we also note that $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \in k^\times$ since $\mathrm{sgn}(l) = \mathrm{lc}(l)\,\mathrm{lc}(-D)^{-\deg^* l}$ by [7, (3.3.3) p. 38]. Using these facts, we distinguish two cases:

(i) $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \in k^{\times 2}$. Then $\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha) = \pm\sqrt{\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l}} \in k^\times$ and thus $(\alpha)_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \in E^\times\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. It follows that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = 1$.

(ii) $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \notin k^{\times 2}$. But we already know that $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \in k^\times$. It follow that $\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha) \notin k^\times$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha^2) \in k^\times$, which is to say that $(\alpha)_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is of order 2 in $\mathbb{I}_E/E^\times\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E)$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is an element of order 2.

So we obtain that the Artin condition $\psi_{K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_{\mathfrak{p}}, y_{\mathfrak{p}}))) = 1$ is equivalent to

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = 1. \tag{3.11}$$

At this time we know that $l = x^2 + Dy^2$ is solvable over $k[t]$ if and only if (3.7), (3.8) hold and there is local solution

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}(x_{\mathfrak{p}}, y_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Omega_F}\mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}})$$

such that the corresponding (3.11) holds. We first prove (a). Suppose that $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \in k^{\times 2}$. Then $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = 1$. If $l = x^2+Dy^2$ is solvable over $k[t]$ then by (3.7) we have l splits in E/F. Moreover, (3.11) implies $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = 1$, i.e. $\mathfrak{L}$ splits completely in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E$. Thus we know (3.8) holds and l splits completely in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. Conversely, if (3.8) holds and l splits completely in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$, then so does l in E, i.e. (3.7) holds. Since l splits completely in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}} = 1$ so (3.11) also holds. This completes the proof for (a).

To show (b), suppose that $\mathrm{sgn}(l)(-1)^{\deg^* l} \notin k^{\times 2}$. Then $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is of order 2. If $l = x^2 + Dy^2$ is solvable over $k[t]$ then we also have l splits in E/F. And by (3.11) we know that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}} = \sigma^{-1}_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is of order 2. Also,

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}|_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}} = \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}, y_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty})]) = 1 \tag{3.12}$$

since $\tilde{f}_E[(x_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}, y_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty})] \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$, which implies that l splits completely in $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. So we have l splits completely in $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and the relative degree of l in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is 2. Conversely, if l splits completely in $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and the relative degree of l in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is 2, then (3.7) holds for the same reason as in the prove for (a). Then it suffices to show (3.11) for some local solution. Actually, since l splits completely in $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$, we have $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}|_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}} = 1$ by the property of Frobenius automorphism. It follows that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty})$. Also $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is of order 2 since $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}|_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}} = 1$ and the relative degree of l in $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is 2. On the other hand, by (3.12), we have $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty})$. Note that $\mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty})$ is cyclic (c.f. [7, Theorem 3.4.7]). Therefore $\mathrm{Gal}(K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty})$ has a unique

subgroup $\{\pm 1\}$ of order 2 and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = -1 \in \{\pm 1\}$. It follow that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{L}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = 1$, i.e. that (3.11) holds. The proof for (b) is finished. □

Remark 3.13. If we assume that

$$\deg D \text{ is odd or } D \text{ contains no odd degree irreducible factor,} \tag{3.14}$$

then [7, Theorems 4.4.3 and 4.4.5] is a special form of the above theorem in the case $\mathrm{sgn}(l) = 1$. To see this we only need to remove the condition (3.8) in (a) and (b). Actually, if the other conditions in (a) or (b) hold, we know that l splits completely in $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. For monic irreducible $r \mid D$, there exists $u \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ such that $\left(\frac{l}{r}\right) = \left(\frac{ur}{l}\right)$ by the assumption $\mathrm{sgn}(l) = 1$. Let $r^* = ur$. We see that $\sqrt{r^*} \in K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ if and only if

$$\psi(i)(\sqrt{r^*}) = \sqrt{r^*} \quad \text{for all } i \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$$

under the Artin map ψ of E, which is equivalent to the product of quadratic Hilbert symbols

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{P}} \left(\frac{r^*, i_{\mathfrak{P}}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right) = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = (i_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}.$$

Clearly $E(\sqrt{r^*})/E$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{P} \nmid (r)\mathfrak{P}_\infty$, and using the fact that $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ is unramified in $F(\sqrt{P})/F$ if P is an polynomial of even degree and that one of r^* and D/r^* is of even degree (by assumption (3.14)), we konw that $\mathfrak{P}_\infty$ is also unramified in $E(\sqrt{r^*})/E$. It follows that $\left(\frac{r^*, i_{\mathfrak{P}}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right) = 1$ for all $\mathfrak{P} \nmid r$. Since $i \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}_\infty} L_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ and $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_F} \mathfrak{o}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, there exist $a_{\mathfrak{p}}, b_{\mathfrak{b}} \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (i_{\mathfrak{P}}, i_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}) = (a_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sqrt{-D}b_{\mathfrak{p}}, a_{\mathfrak{p}} - \sqrt{-D}b_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}\bar{\mathfrak{P}} \text{ splits in } E/F, \\ i_{\mathfrak{P}} = a_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sqrt{-D}b_{\mathfrak{p}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{P} \mid r} \left(\frac{r^*, i_{\mathfrak{P}}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right) = \left(\frac{r^*, a_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 - Db_{\mathfrak{p}}^2}{r}\right) = 1,$$

where the last equality comes from [8, (3.4) Proposition]. Thus we have $\sqrt{r^*} \in K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ and then l splits in $F(\sqrt{r^*})$, which is to say $\left(\frac{l}{r}\right) = \left(\frac{r^*}{l}\right) = 1$ for each monic irreducible factor $r \mid D$. This ensures the local condition (3.8).

We now give an example where the explicit criterion is obtained using Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.15. *Let $k = \mathbb{F}_3$ and $g \in k[t]$, write*

$$g = u \times (t-1)^{s_1} \times (t^2 - t - 1)^{s_2} \times \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{m_k},$$

where $u \in k^\times, s_1, s_2, k \geq 0, m_k \geq 1, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r \neq t-1, t^2-t-1$ are distinct monic polynomial in $k[t]$. Define

$$D = \{\, p \in \{\, p_1, \ldots, p_k \,\} \mid \left(\frac{-(t-1)(t^2-t-1)}{p}\right) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{t^2-t-1}{p}\right) = -1. \,\}$$

Then the diophantine equation

$$-x^2 + txy - (t^3 - t^2 + 1)y^2 + g = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

is solvable over $k[t]$ if and only if

(1) $\left(\frac{g \times p^{v_p(g)}}{p}\right) = (-1)^{v_p(g)}$, *for $p = t-1$ or t^2-t-1,*

(2) $\left(\frac{-(t-1)(t^2-t-1)}{p}\right) = 1$, *for* $p \nmid (t-1)(t^2-t-1)$ *with odd* $m_p := v_p(g)$,
(3) *and* $s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{p \in D} v_p(g) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

Proof. In this example, we have $a = -1$, $b = t$, $c = -(t^3 - t + 1)$ and $-d = b^2 - 4ac = -(t-1)(t^2 - t - 1)$. Since $\deg d$ odd, the assumption (3.1) holds. In order to apply Theorem 3.3, let $F = k(t)$, $E = F(\sqrt{-d})$, $L = \mathfrak{o}_F + \mathfrak{o}_F\sqrt{-d}$ and $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is the class field corresponding to $\Xi^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$. We may identify $\mathfrak{p}$ with the unique monic irreducible p for all $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}_\infty$ and write $\infty = \mathfrak{p}_\infty$. Thus we also denote $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_F$ by $p \leq \infty$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} n &= -4ag = g, \\ \tilde{x} &= 2ax + by = x + ty, \\ \tilde{y} &= y \end{aligned}$$

and we know by (2.5) that

$$\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)] = \begin{cases} (x_p + \sqrt{-d}y_p, x_p - \sqrt{-d}y_p) & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } E/F, \\ x_p + \sqrt{-d}y_p & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{x}_p = x_p + ty_p$, $\tilde{y}_p = y_p$. Since d is square free and $\deg d = 3$ is odd, we know that $L = \mathfrak{o}_E$ and $K^+_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}$ is the Hilbert class field corresponding to

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E^\times_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \neq \mathfrak{P}_\infty} \mathfrak{o}^\times_{E_\mathfrak{P}}$$

(c.f. [7, Theorem 3.4.7]). Moreover, the computational result using Drinfeld modules in [7, Example 4.3.3] tells us that we can write $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty} = E(\alpha)$ where the minimal polynomial of α is $x^2 - (t^2 - t - 1)$. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E) =< -1 >= \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then by Theorem 3.3, the equation (3.16) is solvable over $k[t]$ if and only if there exists local solution

$$\prod_p (x_p, y_p) \in \prod_p \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_p})$$

such that

$$\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E(\prod_p (x_p, y_p))) = 1.$$

Next we calculate these conditions in details. By a simple calculation we know the local condition is equivalent to (1) and (2). For the Artin condition, let $\prod_p (x_p, y_p) \in \prod_p \mathbf{X}(\mathfrak{o}_{F_p})$ be a local solution. Then

$$(\tilde{x}_p + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)(\tilde{x}_p - \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) = g \text{ in } E_\mathfrak{P} \text{ with } \mathfrak{P} \mid p \tag{3.17}$$

and since $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E$ is unramified, for any $p \neq \infty$ we have

$$1 = \begin{cases} \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_\mathfrak{P})\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}), & \text{if } p = \mathfrak{P}\bar{\mathfrak{P}} \text{ splits in } E/F, \\ \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_\mathfrak{P}), & \text{if } p = \mathfrak{P} \text{ is inert in } E/F. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

We calculate $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)])$ separately:

(1) If $p = t-1$ or t^2-t-1, then $p = \mathfrak{P}^2$ in E/F. Suppose $\mathfrak{P} = \pi\mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{P}}$ for $\pi \in \mathfrak{o}_{E_\mathfrak{P}}$. Noting that $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E$ is unramified and that $\mathfrak{P}_2^2$ is principal in E but $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is not, we have $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}((\pi_2)\mathfrak{P}_2) = -1$. By (3.17) we have

$$v_\mathfrak{P}(\tilde{x}_p + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) = v_\mathfrak{P}(\tilde{x}_p - \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) = \frac{1}{2}v_\mathfrak{P}(g) = v_p(g) = s_1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) &= \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}((\tilde{x}_p + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)\mathfrak{P}) \\ &= (-1)^{v_\mathfrak{P}(\tilde{x}_p+\sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)} = (-1)^{s_1}.\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $\left(\frac{-d}{p}\right) = 1$ then by (3.18) we can distinguish the following two cases.

(i) If $\left(\frac{t^2-t-1}{p}\right) = 1$ i.e. $x^2 - (t^2-t-1) \mod p$ is solvable, then $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_\mathfrak{P}) = \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}) = 1$ and $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) = 1$.

(ii) If $\left(\frac{t^2-t-1}{p}\right) = -1$ i.e. $x^2 - (t^2-t-1) \mod p$ is not solvable, then $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_\mathfrak{P}) = \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(p_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}) = -1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) &= \psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}((\tilde{x}_p + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)\mathfrak{P})\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}((\tilde{x}_p - \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)\bar{\mathfrak{P}}) \\ &= (-1)^{v_\mathfrak{P}(\tilde{x}_p+\sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)+v_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}(\tilde{x}_p-\sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p)} = (-1)^{v_p(g)},\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}&v_\mathfrak{P}(\tilde{x}_p + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) + v_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}(\tilde{x}_p - \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) \\ &\qquad = v_p(\tilde{x}_p + \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) + v_p(\tilde{x}_p - \sqrt{-d}\tilde{y}_p) = v_p(g).\end{aligned}$$

(3) If $\left(\frac{-d}{p}\right) = -1$ then p is inert in E/F. By (3.18) we have $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_p, y_p)]) = 1$.

(4) At last if $p = \infty$, since $K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E$ is unramified, we have $\psi_{K_{\mathfrak{P}_\infty}/E}(\tilde{f}_E[(x_\infty, y_\infty)]) = 1$.

Putting the above argument together, we know the Artin condition is

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{p \in D} v_p(g) \equiv 0 \pmod 2. \tag{3.19}$$

The proof is complete if we put the local condition (1) (2) and the Artin condition (3.19) together. □

References

[1] J. W. S Cassels and A Fröhlich (eds.), *Algebraic number theory*, Academic Press, 1967.

[2] David A. Cox, *Primes of the form x^2+ny^2: Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication*, John Wiley & Sons, 1989.

[3] David Goss, *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[4] David Harari, *Le défaut d'approximation forte pour les groupes algébriques commutatifs*, Algebra & Number Theory **2** (2008), no. 5, 595–611.

[5] Chang Lv and Yingpu Deng, *On orders in number fields: Picard groups, ring class fields and applications*, Science China Mathematics **58** (2015), no. 8, 1627–1638.

[6] Chang Lv, Junchao Shentu, and Yingpu Deng, *On the integral representation of binary quadratic forms and the Artin condition*, Tokyo Journal of Mathematics (to appear), 1–14.

[7] Piotr Maciak, *Primes of the form X^2+nY^2 in function fields*, Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of the Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in The Department of Mathematics by Piotr Maciak MS, Szczecin University, 2010.

[8] Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Springer, 1999.

[9] Dasheng Wei, *On the sum of two integral squares in quadratic fields* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm p})$, Acta Arith. **147** (2011), no. 3, 253–260.
[10] ———, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 - Dy^2 = n$, Science China Mathematics **56** (2013), no. 2, 227–238.
[11] ———, *On the sum of two integral squares in the imaginary quadratic field* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2p})$, Science China Mathematics **57** (2014), no. 1, 49–60.
[12] Dasheng Wei and Fei Xu, *Integral points for multi-norm tori*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **104** (2012), no. 5, 1019–1044.
[13] ———, *Integral points for groups of multiplicative type*, Advances in Mathematics **232** (2013), no. 1, 36–56.

State Key Laboratory of Information Security, Institute of Information Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, P.R. China

E-mail address: lvchang@amss.ac.cn